

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E) for Authority to Increase Its Authorized Level of Base Rate Revenue under the Electric Revenue Adjustment Mechanism for Service Rendered Beginning January 1, 1995 and to Reflect this Increase on Rates.

Application 93-12-025
(Filed December 27, 1993)

Order Instituting Investigation into the Rates, Charges, and Practices of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, Establishment of the Utility's Revenue Requirement, and Attrition Request.

Investigation 94-02-002
(Filed February 3, 1994)

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-E) for an Order Implementing Assembly Bill 265.

Application 00-10-045
(Filed October 24, 2000)

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902-E) for Authority to Implement an Electric Rate Surcharge to Manage the Balance in the Energy Rate Ceiling Revenue Shortfall Account.

Application 01-01-044
(Filed January 24, 2001)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
REQUIRING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

On March 25, 2002, Aglet Consumer Alliance, The Utility Reform Network, and Utility Consumers Action Network (Joint Intervenors) filed a joint

request in the captioned proceedings seeking an intervenor compensation award of \$69,652.49.¹ Joint Intervenors assert that they contributed substantially both to Decision (D.) 02-01-063, which modified ratemaking for SDG&E's share of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 2 and 3, and to a Commission vote that rejected a proposed settlement of claims in the California Court of Appeals regarding certain intermediate term power procurement contracts (IT contracts).

Although Joint Intervenors filed a consolidated request for their combined contributions to D.02-01-063 and to the Commission's action on the IT contract litigation, the Commission shall process these requests separately. Accordingly, it is necessary to determine what portion of the total request of \$69,652.49 pertains to the Joint Intervenors' participation leading to the issuance of D.02-01-063, and what portion pertains to their participation with respect to the IT contracts.

¹ Application (A.) 93-12-025 and Investigation (I.) 94-02-002 are consolidated proceedings constituting Southern California Edison Company's (Edison) test year 1995 general rate case. A.00-10-045 and A.01-01-044 are consolidated applications of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) pertaining to SDG&E's requests to implement Assembly Bill (AB) 265 and to establish a rate surcharge related to a balancing account undercollection associated with AB 265. The Edison and SDG&E proceedings are not consolidated.

Therefore, **IT IS RULED** that Joint Intervenors shall submit to the undersigned an analysis showing the portion of their total requested compensation of \$69,652.49 that pertains to their asserted contribution to D.02-01-063, and the portion that pertains to their asserted contribution to the IT contract issue. This analysis shall be filed with the Commission Docket Office no later than 15 days after the date of this ruling.

Dated May 17, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MYRA J. PRESTIDGE

Myra J. Prestidge
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Requiring Additional Information on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated May 17, 2002, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.